




CM-110

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):  
 Richard D. Carroll (SBN 116913)  
 Tobin J. Trobough (SBN 140556)  
 Carroll, Kelly, Trotter, Franzen, McKenna & Peabo  
 111 W. Ocean Blvd., 14th Fl.  
 Long Beach, CA 90801  
 TELEPHONE NO.: (562) 432-5855 FAX NO. (Optional): (562) 432-8785  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): [tjtrobough@cktfmlaw.com](mailto:tjtrobough@cktfmlaw.com)  
 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland

FOR COURT USE ONLY

**FILED**  
**ALAMEDA COUNTY**

DEC 5 2017

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
 By  Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Alameda  
 STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street  
 MAILING ADDRESS: Same  
 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland 94612  
 BRANCH NAME: Oakland Division

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield, et al.  
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, et al.

**CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

(Check one):  UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)  LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)

CASE NUMBER: RG15760730

**FAX FILE**

A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:  
 Date: November 13, 2017 Time: 3:00 p.m. Dept.: 517 Div.: Room:  
 Address of court (if different from the address above):  
 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612

Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name):

**INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified information must be provided.**

- Party or parties (answer one):
  - This statement is submitted by party (name): UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland
  - This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):
- Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)
  - The complaint was filed on (date):
  - The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):
- Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)
  - All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, have appeared, or have been dismissed.
  - The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint
    - have not been served (specify names and explain why not):
    - have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed (specify names):
    - have had a default entered against them (specify names):
  - The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of involvement in case, and date by which they may be served):
- Description of case
  - Type of case in  complaint  cross-complaint (Describe, including causes of action):  
 Professional Negligence; Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress; and Wrongful Death.

**ORIGINAL**

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield, et al.	CASE NUMBER: RG15760730
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, et al.	

4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages are sought, specify the injury and damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], estimated future medical expenses, lost earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, describe the nature of the relief.)

Plaintiffs allege negligence medical treatment and bring alternative claims for both personal injury/wrongful death. Defendant UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital - Oakland denies wrongdoing.

(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachment 4b.)

5. Jury or nonjury trial

The party or parties request  a jury trial  a nonjury trial. (If more than one party, provide the name of each party requesting a jury trial):

6. Trial date

- a.  The trial has been set for (date):
- b.  No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of the date of the filing of the complaint (if not, explain): This case is complex and will likely not be ready for trial within a 12-month period.
- c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and explain reasons for unavailability): See attached "RDC TRIALS/ARBITRATIONS" for trial unavailability in this matter.

7. Estimated length of trial

The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one):

- a.  days (specify number): 25 - 30 days
- b.  hours (short causes) (specify):

8. Trial representation (to be answered for each party)

The party or parties will be represented at trial  by the attorney or party listed in the caption  by the following:

- a. Attorney:
- b. Firm:
- c. Address:
- d. Telephone number:
- e. E-mail address:
- f. Fax number:
- g. Party represented:

Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.

9. Preference

This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):

10. Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)

- a. **ADR information package.** Please note that different ADR processes are available in different courts and communities; read the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information about the processes available through the court and community programs in this case.

(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel  has  has not provided the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.

(2) For self-represented parties: Party  has  has not reviewed the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221.

- b. **Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).**

(1)  This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amount in controversy does not exceed the statutory limit.

(2)  Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit recovery to the amount specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11.

(3)  This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the California Rules of Court or from civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify exemption): Amount in controversy exceeds jurisdictional limits.

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, et al.	RG15760730

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (check all that apply and provide the specified information):

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mediation session not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation session scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete mediation by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ADR session not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> ADR session scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> ADR completed on (date):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, et al.	RG15760730

11. Insurance

- a.  Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): BETA Healthcare Group
- b. Reservation of rights:  Yes  No
- c.  Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain):

12. Jurisdiction

Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case and describe the status.

- Bankruptcy  Other (specify):

Status:

13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination

- a.  There are companion, underlying, or related cases.
  - (1) Name of case:
  - (2) Name of court:
  - (3) Case number:
  - (4) Status:
- Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a.
- b.  A motion to  consolidate  coordinate will be filed by (name party):

14. Bifurcation

- The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or coordinating the following issues or causes of action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons): Personal injury cause of action and/or the issue of determination of death pursuant to medical guidelines.

15. Other motions

- The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving party, type of motion, and issues): Motion for Summary Judgment on personal injury action and standard of care as as to the wrongful death action.

16. Discovery

- a.  The party or parties have completed all discovery.
- b.  The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all anticipated discovery):
 

Party	Description	Date
Defendants, UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland	Written Discovery	12/31/18
Defendants, UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland	Plaintiff and Non-Perceptient Witness Depositions	12/31/18
Defendants, UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland	Expert Witness Depositions	Per Code
- c.  The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (specify):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, et al.	RG15760730

17. Economic litigation

- a.  This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.
- b.  This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the economic litigation procedures or for additional discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial should not apply to this case):

18. Other issues

- The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered or determined at the case management conference (specify): Demurrer to the Complaint of Milton McMath.

19. Meet and confer


- a.  The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court (if not, explain): Counsel for Children's Hospital has met and conferred with all counsel.
- b. After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following (specify):

20. Total number of pages attached (if any): \_\_\_\_\_

I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required.

Date: December 1, 2017

Tobin J. Trobough  
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

  
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Additional signatures are attached.

**SHORT TITLE: Winkfield v. UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital****Case No.: RG15760730****CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT (Continued)**

01/08/18	<u>Berrocal v. Weber</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-12, Trial
01/08/18	<u>Schoettler v. Edinger</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-33, Trial
01/17/18	<u>Ward v. Qian</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
01/27/18	<u>Garcia v. Vosoghi</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 97, Trial
02/02/18	<u>Lafky v. Bagheri</u> , SDSC/San Diego, Dept. C-67, Trial
02/06/18	<u>Tarzia v. Sloan/Shenanssa</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
02/15/18	<u>Jones v. Farahanchi</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial
02/16/18	<u>Ghosh v. McCormick</u> , SDSC/Vista, Dept. N-29, Trial
02/16/18	<u>Lee v. Rappard</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
02/20/18	<u>Fowler-Hughes v. Weber/CFAI</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-33, Trial
02/20/18	<u>Hachamovitch v. Karlsberg</u> , LASC/Santa Monica, Dept. WE-P, Trial
02/26/18	<u>Connor v. PSJHC/PHCMC</u> , LASC/Van Nuys, Dept. A, Trial
03/09/18	<u>Huddleston v. Anzaldo</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-19, Trial
03/09/18	<u>Williams v. Muldoon</u> , SDSC/San Diego, Dept. C-68, Trial
03/12/18	<u>Derossett v. Ke</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
03/12/18	<u>Norwood v. Phan</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-13, Trial
03/16/18	<u>Pokladowski v. PHCMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
03/22/18	<u>Toscano v. PSJMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 97, Trial
03/23/18	<u>Sabat v. Regents/Bronner/Sitapati</u> , SDSC/San Diego, Dept. C-61, Trial
03/29/18	<u>Talwar v. Leary/Nourse</u> , SDSC, San Diego, Dept. C-61, Trial
04/02/18	<u>Schlager v. PSJMC/PHN</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 34, Trial
04/16/18	<u>Ramjohn v. PLCMMC Torrance</u> , LASC/Long Beach, Dept. S27, Trial
04/18/18	<u>Diaz v. Carter</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 97, Trial
04/19/18	<u>Rangel v. PHCMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 93, Trial
04/19/18	<u>Smith v. Zakowski/Grewal</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
04/30/18	<u>Gauthier v. Vera</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C18
04/30/18	<u>Grant v. Lee</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-11, Trial
05/07/18	<u>Joswick-Terry v. Weber</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C-20, Trial
05/14/18	<u>Sherman v. Gelb</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 93, Trial
05/21/18	<u>Williams v. PSJMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial
05/29/18	<u>Garcia v. Donohue/Nirula/CHA</u> , OCSC/Santa Ana, Dept. C18, Trial
06/11/18	<u>Santander v. Berdichevsky</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
06/15/18	<u>Retz v. PLCMMCT/PMI, PMPC/PMG/PHS</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
07/06/18	<u>Zarandy v. Regents</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial
07/11/18	<u>Armenta v. Sanders</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

SHORT TITLE: Winkfield v. UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital

Case No.: RG15760730

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT (Continued)

07/20/18	<u>Hughey v. Rabbany/Hoorfar</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 97, Trial
07/24/18	<u>Moore v. Anselmo/DeYoung</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 94, Trial
08/06/18	<u>Shaikh v. Mani</u> , LASC/Santa Monica, Dept. N, Trial
08/13/18	<u>Rentschler v. Boyd</u> , Alameda County/Oakland, Dept. 18, Trial
08/14/18	<u>Derohanian v. Tahery</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 93, Trial
09/10/18	<u>Ronisky v. EPIC Systems</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 48, Trial
09/20/18	<u>Baterina v. Wan</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial
10/09/18	<u>Wilson v. PHCMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
10/10/18	<u>Hughes v. PLCMMCT</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
10/12/18	<u>Holsome v. PSJHC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
10/15/18	<u>Tran v. Chu</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 98, Trial
10/26/18	<u>Velasquez v. PLCMMC SP</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial
11/05/18	<u>O'Leary v. Soonthornsawat</u> , LASC/Santa Monica, Dept. P, Trial
11/13/18	<u>Belillti v. Regents</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 91, Trial
11/26/18	<u>Henderson v. CHMC</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 93, Trial
03/27/19	<u>Dominquez v. Providence</u> , LASC/Central, Dept. 92, Trial





1 *Service List*  
2 *Winkfield v. Rosen*  
3 *Case No.: RG15760730*

4 Bruce M. Brusavich, Esq.  
5 Terry S. Schneier, Esq.  
6 Agnew Brusavich  
7 A Professional Corporation  
8 20355 Hawthorne Boulevard, 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl  
9 Torrance, CA 90503  
10 F: (310) 793-1400  
11 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

Andrew N. Chang  
ESNER, CHANG & BOYER  
Southern California Office  
234 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 975  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
F: (626) 535-9859  
**Associate Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

8 Thomas E. Still, Esq. (SBN 127065)  
9 Jennifer Still, Esq. (SBN 138347)  
10 Hinshaw, Marsh, Still & Hinshaw, LLP  
11 12901 Saratoga Ave.  
12 Saratoga, CA 95070  
13 F: (408) 257-6645  
14 **Attorneys for Frederick S. Rosen, M.D.**

Thomas J. Doyle, Esq. (SBN 114485)  
Schuering Zimmerman & Doyle, LLP  
400 University Avenue  
Sacramento, CA 95825-6502  
F: (916) 567-0400  
**Attorneys for Alicia Herrera**

12 Scott E. Murray, Esq. (SBN 104741)  
13 Donnelly Nelson Depolo & Murray  
14 A Professional Corporation  
15 201 North Civic Drive, Suite 239  
16 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3879  
17 F: (925) 287-8188  
18 **Attorneys for James Patrick Howard, M.D.**

Robert Hodges, Esq. (SBN 95033)  
McNamara Ney Beatty Slattery Borges &  
Ambacher, LLP  
1211 Newell Avenue, #2  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5238  
F: (925) 939-0203  
**Robert M. Wesman, M.D.**

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28