

The standard law review article structure looks *roughly* like the following. Your section headings and macro architecture will differ.

I. Brief Intro

What is the issue?

Why is it important?

What is your position or proposition?

Section by section roadmap

II. Legal Background

Factual History

Legal History (might be a separate main section)

III. Discuss Open Issue

Remind the reader where we are today

What are its ramifications for today and for the future?

How much does the factual and legal history influence today's thinking?

IV. Make Proposal or Take a Position

Develop all the favorable arguments

Explain each argument by

 Giving its advantages

 Giving its disadvantages

 Show why advantages outweigh disadvantages

Conclusion of favorable arguments

Develop all the arguments against the proposal or position

 Follow same procedure as "favorable arguments"

Examine how your proposal or position supports:

 Public Policy

 Current Statutes

 Other scholar's theory

 Current political thought

V. Conclusion

Repeat gist of the Intro

Stress proposal or position